BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF THE CAMRAN CORPORATION, 4 PCHB No. 109 Appellant, 5 ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL vs. FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Notice of Appeal was filed herein on April 24, 1972 by The Camran Corporation.

The Camran Corporation urged in its appeal that Resolution 141 was

- a. Resolution 141 is in violation of the Washington Clean Air Act, Section RCW 70.94.040.
- b. Resolution 141 is an implementation of Engrossed Substitute

 House Bill #47 passed at the 1972 special session of the

 Legislature. The Resolution is not in conformance with the

- intent of HB #47 as clearly stated by Governor Daniel J. Evans
 in his February 25 partial veto message to the House of
 Representatives.
- Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation 1 as stated by Section 1.01.
- d. The Resolution does not conform to its own Statement of Policy as stated by Section 2a of the Resolution.
- e. The Resolution is a breach of faith with those private companies who have expended large amounts of capital and technical effort in assisting in the solution of the disposal of vegetation and land clearing debris with minimum harm to the environment.
- f. Through allowing return of the uncontrolled open burn, this
 Resolution has done a great financial harm to The Camran
 Corporation, its employees, its suppliers and to local economic
 development efforts, through elimination of the market for the
 new, smokeless Camran Air Curtain Combustion Unit.

The Pollution Control Hearings Board questioned whether the adoption by the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency of Resolution 141 and the amendments to Regulation 1 constituted such an "order or decision" as could be appealed to the Pollution Control Hearings Board, and asked for briefs on that question.

Counsel for both The Camran Corporation and the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency submitted briefs May 24 and 25, 1972. After carefully considering the briefs, the Pollution Control Hearings Board is satisfied that the adoption of Resolution 141 and the implementary

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

1J

amendments of Regulation 1 are not appealable to the Pollution Control Hearings Board.

12.13

The Washington Clean Air Act makes a clear distinction between orders and decisions of Pollution Control Agency and its adoption of regulations and the amendments thereto.

In outlining the powers of the Pollution Control Agencies, the Legislature authorizes the adoption of regulations, and their amendment and repeal, and makes no suggestion of any right of review. (RCW 70.94.441(1))

However, the same section in subsection (3), when it speaks of "orders by the Agency or its control officer," makes them subject to the Rights of Appeal as provided in Chapter 62, Laws of 1970, 1st Ex. Sess. (The Act which created the Pollution Control Hearings Board.)

The Pollution Control Hearings Board does not presume to pass on the merits of the appellant's contentions as to propriety or validity of Resolution 141 adopted by the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, but being a Board of expressly limited jurisdiction, and believing itself to be without jurisdiction to hear the aforesaid appeal of The Camran Corporation, dismisses the same for lack of jurisdiction.

 24

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

1	SIGNED at Olympia, Washington this 28th day of June , 1972
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	Mary with my
4	MATTHEW W. HILL, Chairman
5	(-1)
6	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
7	Walt Woodward
8	WALT WOODWARD, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
'0	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

S F No 9928-A

27 FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION